

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,586	11/04/1999	ROGER GUY MARKHAM	103245	2748
759	90 04/06/2004	•	EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE PLC			RAHIMI, IRAJ A	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2622	
			DATE MAILED 04/06/000	. /(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			120/			
Supplimentar	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/433,586	MARKHAM, ROGER	GUY			
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Iraj) Alan Rahimi	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ISS			
THE REPLY FILED 30 Decmber 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply ich places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the man SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Set 136(a) and the appropriate ester the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under t) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S .			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed.	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 20 and 21.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	G	DWARD COLES	'n			
	SUPER V IS TECHN	ORY PATENT EXAMINE OLOGY CENTER 2600	n			

Continuation of 10. Other: Double patenting rejection is withdrawn as initially reported in paper #9.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCHINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600